AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09) Case 1:11-cr-00165-PLM ECF No. 10 filed 06/02/11 Page ID.14 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
v. Silvano Navarijo-Pineda	Case No. 1:11-cr-00165-PLM		
Defendant			
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Rohat the defendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
Part I – F	Findings of Fact		
	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had		
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
an offense for which the maximum sentence i	is death or life imprisonment.		
an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:		
a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.		
any felony that is not a crime of violence but i a minor victim	nvolves:		
the possession or use of a firearr a failure to register under 18 U.S.	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .C. § 2250		
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presuperson or the community. I further find that defende	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.		
Alternati	ive Findings (A)		
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	int has committed an offense		
for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et			
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
 (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance 	tablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition and the safety of the community.		
	ive Findings (B)		
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap			
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan			
	f the Reasons for Detention		
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing		
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co Defendant is subject to an HSI/ERO detainer and would n Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention 	not be released in any case.		
Part III Directio	ns Pagarding Detention		

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	June 2, 2011	Judge's Signature: _/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	